Disposable Glove Comparison Matrix

| Environmentally sustainable manufacturing process | Vinyl | | Latex | | Nitrile | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| | Very Poor | 9 🔞 | Good | 0 | Good | 0 |
| Manufactured with renewable resources | Poor | 0 | Very Good | 00 | Good | 0 |
| Biodegradability | Very Poor | 9 9 | Very Good | @ @ | Good | 0 |
| Safe incineration disposal | Very Poor | 9 🔞 | Very Good | 00 | Good | 0 |
| Protection from allergies associated with long term use | Poor | 0 | Poor | 0 | Good | 0 |
| Minimising exposure to potentially harmful toxins | Poor | 0 | Good | 0 | Good | 6 |
| Protection from biohazards | Poor | 0 | Very Good | 00 | Very Good | 6 6 |
| Protection from chemicals | Very Poor | 9 0 | Moderate | 00 | Good | 0 |
| Average cost value per unit | Very Good | 9 🚱 | Good | 0 | Good | 6 |
| Glove film strength | Poor | 0 | Good | 0 | Very Good | 00 |
| Tear and puncture resistance | Poor | 0 | Good | 0 | Very Good | 00 |
| Effective use without powder | Poor | 9 | Moderate | 00 | Very Good | 00 |
| Comfort for the user | Poor | 9 | Good | 6 | Good | 6 |
| Applications across multiple industries | Poor | 0 | Moderate | 00 | Very Good | 00 |